



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/627,095	07/24/2003	William R. Wintermute II	02280.003380.US	2249
5514 75	10/05/2005		EXAMINER	
	K CELLA HARPER &	CHEN, JOSE V		
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK, 1		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ke	<u> </u>						
	:		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
			10/627,095	WINTERMUTE	ET AL.		
	Office Action St	ımmary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	:		José V. Chen	3637	•		
Period fo		this communication appo	ears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence	address		
WHIC - Extense after S - If NO - Failure Any re	HEVER IS LONGER, F. sions of time may be available ur SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing period for reply is specified above to reply within the set or extend	ROM THE MAILING DA der the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 date of this communication. e, the maximum statutory period will ed period for reply will, by statute, than three months after the mailing	TE OF THIS COMN 6(a). In no event, however, I ill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to become				
Status	:						
1)⊠	Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on 24 Ju	ly 2003.	•			
	This action is FINAL.		action is non-final.				
3) 🗌							
	closed in accordance w	ith the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	on of Claims		•				
4)🛛	Claim(s) is/are p	ending in the application	፡ ገ.				
-		s) is/are withdraw		n. ·			
5)	Claim(s) is/are a	llowed.	: •	:			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-2</u>	<u>0</u> is/are rejected.			•		
7) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are object	cted to.	<u> </u>	· :			
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are sub	ject to restriction and/or	election requiremer	ıt.			
Application	on Papers		÷				
9) 🔲 7	The specification is obje	cted to by the Examiner	, ,				
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)  acce	pted or b) objecte	ed to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
				awing(s) is objected to. See 37	• •		
11) 🗌 🗆	The oath or declaration	is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the atta	ached Office Action or form	PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12)[] <i>A</i>	cknowledgment is mad	de of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)	None of:	:				
	1. Certified copies of	of the priority documents	have been received	l. ·			
;				I in Application No			
;				been received in this Nation	ial Stage		
		the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* S	ee the attached detailed	d Office action for a list of	of the certified copies	s not received.	•		
	: :						
	: :		·				
Attachment(	· ·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) 🛛 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ation Disclosure Statement(s No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/24/03,01</u>	) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notic	er No(s)/Mail Date be of Informal Patent Application (F r:	PTO-152)		
<del></del>	1			·	<u> </u>		

Art Unit: 3637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Note the use of the expression "invention" and "means".

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations of claim 7, 8, 9, 10 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Art Unit: 3637

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 13 has not been further treated on the merits.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear if applicant intended to claim a combination including a plurality of cartons since a module support blank is claimed with

specific interconnection with a plurality of cartons such plurality of cartons not being positively claimed making the metes and bounds of the claims unclear and confusing to a potential infringer. Clarification and correction are required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, so far as definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McBride. The patent to McBride teaches structure as claimed including a module support blank, the blank comprising a first back panel (fig. 2 @) 13) integrally connected on a side thereof to a back side of a first side panel (15), a second back panel (13) integrally connected on a side thereof to a back side of a second side panel (14), at least one face panel (22) integrally connected on opposing sides to a front side of the first side panel and a front side of the second side panel, and at least one access area disposed between the first side panel and the second side panel and located above or below the face panel, bottom panel. The examiner has taken the position that a combination is not claimed. Applicant must clarify and correct such with respect to the 112 rejection above.

Art Unit: 3637

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 6, 7, 8, 9, 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McBride. The patent to McBride teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including support structure for cartons the only difference being that there are not attaching structure for hanging or fixing elements placed on the support. However, the use of hooks, adhesives, hook and loop structure to attach structures is well known and commercially available at any hardware store and applicant is given judicial notice of such. To use such well known commercially

Art Unit: 3637

available structures would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art since such is used the same well known intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10-12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Boerman, Smith ('936), belokin, Jr., Podergois, Dye, Ragsdale, Mangrum et al, Pinkstone et al, Grueneberg, Maye, Hinton et al teach structure similar to applicant's.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jose V. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 09-28-05